STATE OF VERMONT PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

Case No. 24- -PET

Petition of Vermont Transco LLC and	
Vermont Electric Power Company, Inc. for a	
certificate of public good, pursuant to	
30 V.S.A. § 248, for the replacement and	
removal of structures on the VELCO K24-5	
Line in Waterbury, Vermont	

PREFILED TESTIMONY OF WITNESS JASON W. SMITH ON BEHALF OF VELCO

December 20, 2024

Jason W. Smith's testimony demonstrates that this Project will not have an undue adverse effect on historic sites, air and water purity, or the natural environment as described under 30 V.S.A. §§ 248(b)(5) & (b)(8).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Introduction
2.	Testimony Overview
3.	Historic Sites [30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(5)]
4.	Natural Environment [30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(5)]
5.	Outstanding Resource Waters [10 V.S.A. § 1424a(d) & 30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(8)] 6
6.	Water and Air Pollution [10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(1)]
7.	Headwaters [10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(1)(A)]
8.	Waste Disposal [10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(1)(B)]9
9.	Water Conservation & Supply [10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(1)(C) & (a)(2)&(3)]10
10.	Floodways [10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(1)(D)]
11.	Streams [10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(1)(E)]
12.	Shorelines [10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(1)(F)]
13.	Wetlands [10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(1)(G)]
14.	Soil Erosion [10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(4)]
15.	Rare and Irreplaceable Natural Areas, Necessary Wildlife Habitat, Endangered Species [10
	V.S.A. § 6086(a)(8)]
16.	Greenhouse Gas Impacts [30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(5)]
17.	Use of Natural Resources [30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(5)]
18.	Primary Agricultural Soils [30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(5)]

EXHIBITS

Exhibit Petitioner JWS-1	Résumé of Jason W. Smith
Exhibit Petitioner JWS-2	Natural Resource Memorandum with Attachments
Exhibit Petitioner JWS-3	VELCO Environmental Guidance Manual (VEGM)

Case No. _____ Prefiled Testimony of Jason W. Smith December 20, 2024 Page 2 of 17

PREFILED TESTIMONY OF JASON W. SMITH ON BEHALF OF VERMONT ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY, INC. AND VERMONT TRANSCO LLC

1		<u>Introduction</u>
2	Q1.	Please state your name, occupation, and business address.
3	A1.	My name is Jason W. Smith. I am an Environmental Specialist for Vermont
4	Electric Pow	er Company, Inc. (together with VT Transco LLC referred to as "VELCO"). My
5	business add	ress is Vermont Electric Power Company, Inc., 366 Pinnacle Ridge Road, Rutland,
6	VT 05701.	
7		
8	Q2.	Please describe your education and employment background.
9	A2.	In 2008, I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Recreation Resource
10	Management	and Natural Resource GIS Mapping and Planning from Lyndon State College
11	(currently Ve	ermont State University – Lyndon Campus). I worked for Central Vermont Public
12	Service Corp	oration (CVPS) and Green Mountain Power (GMP) (2008-2014) as an
13	Environment	al Compliance Coordinator where I was responsible for environmental planning,
14	permitting an	nd compliance for various projects related to line construction/reconstruction,
15	substations, f	Facilities, and maintenance of CVPS/GMP assets. From 2014-2024, I worked for
16	Vanasse Han	gen Brustlin, Inc. (VHB) as an Environmental Scientist providing utility clients
17	with a variety	y of environmental services including: planning, technical reporting, permitting,
18	compliance of	oversight, regulatory support and outreach, and project management. From 2014-
19	2023 I was p	rimarily assigned as staff augmentation to VELCO to assist with the VELCO
20	Structure Con	ndition Improvement Project/Transmission Line Refurbishment Project, Connecticu

Case No. ____ Prefiled Testimony of Jason W. Smith December 20, 2024 Page 3 of 17

1	River Valley	K31 Line Reconstruction Project, and various other projects and tasks. During that
2	time, I was re	esponsible for environmental inspection/compliance, reporting, regulatory support
3	and outreach,	permitting, and project management. In November 2024, I joined VELCO as a
4	Senior Enviro	onmental Specialist and am responsible for a variety of linear transmission line
5	maintenance	projects and substation facility upgrades. In this role, I am responsible for
6	scheduling ar	nd managing natural resource and above- and below-ground historic site
7	assessments,	agency coordination and correspondence, environmental permitting, and
8	construction,	and restoration oversight to ensure compliance. My resume is attached as Exhibit
9	Petitioner JV	WS-1.
10		
11	Q3.	Have you previously provided testimony before the Vermont Public Utility
12	Commission	(the "Commission" or "PUC")?
13	A3.	No. I have supported other VELCO and Green Mountain Power staff in the
14	development	of testimony and exhibits for projects brought before the Commission.
15		
16	Q4.	Do you hold any professional licenses or certifications?
17	A4.	Yes. I hold two EnviroCert International, Inc. certifications. Certified
18	Professional i	in Erosion Prevention and Sediment Control (CPESC) #8343 and Certified Erosion,
19	Sediment and	Stormwater Inspector (CESSWI) #4755, and OSHA 40-hour certification for
20	Hazardous W	aste Operations and Emergency Response (HAZWOPER) (29 CFR 1910.120).

1		<u>Testimony Overview</u>
2	Q5.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
3	A5.	My testimony supports the Petition filed by VELCO requesting a Certificate of
4	Public Good	("CPG") pursuant to 30 V.S.A. § 248 for the replacement of Structure LCP-020 and
5	removal of te	emporary Structure LCP-021 on the VELCO K24-5 Duxbury Tap-Stowe Line in
6	Waterbury, V	Vermont (the "Project"). My testimony summarizes how the Project will comply
7	with the envi	ronmental and historic sites criteria applicable to electric transmission projects
8	under 30 V.S	A. § 248. Specifically, I discuss the following statutory criteria: outstanding
9	resource water	ers (10 V.S.A. § 1424a(d)), air and water pollution (10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(1)),
10	headwaters, ((10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(1)(A)), waste disposal (10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(1)(B)), water
11	conservation	(10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(1)(C)), floodways (10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(1)(D)), shorelines
12	(10 V.S.A. §	6086 (a)(1)(F)), streams (10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(1)(E)), wetlands (10 V.S.A. §
13	6086(a)(1)(G	s)), water supply (10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(2) and (3)), soil erosion (10 V.S.A. § 6086
14	(a)(4)), and the	hreatened and endangered species, rare and irreplaceable natural areas and necessary
15	wildlife habi	tat (10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(8)). My testimony also addresses additional criteria under
16	30 V.S.A. § 2	248(b)(5): historic sites, greenhouse gas impacts, use of natural resources, and
17	primary agric	cultural soils.
18		
19		Historic Sites [30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(5)]
20	Q6.	Will this Project have an undue adverse effect on historic sites?
21	A6.	No. Generally, a "historic site" is a site that has been officially included or

"registered" in the National Register of Historic Places and/or the state register of historic places.

Case No. ____ Prefiled Testimony of Jason W. Smith December 20, 2024 Page 5 of 17

1 The Project, as proposed, will not have an undue adverse impact on historic sites, and is located 2 within an existing Transmission Line Right-of-Way ("ROW") surrounded by residential 3 development and an adjacent solar site. The VELCO K24-5 Duxbury Tap-Stowe Line ROW 4 was previously reviewed for below and above ground historic resources including archaeological 5 resources and historic sites in Docket No. 7032, which authorized the Lamoille County 115 kV 6 Project. I have reviewed studies and reports prepared for the Docket 7032 proceeding, and I 7 have confirmed that the project work area for this Project does not contain known archaeological 8 sites or archaeological sensitive areas. Specifically, I reviewed the 2004 Draft, Phase 1A 9 Archaeological Site Sensitivity Study of the Vermont Electric Power Company, Inc. (VELCO) 10 Greater Lamoille County 115kV Project between Duxbury, Washington County, and Stowe, 11 Lamoille County, Vermont prepared by Douglas Frink and the Archaeology Consulting Team, 12 Inc., the 2007 Phase 1B Archaeological Investigation, Lamoille County 115kV Project, Lamoille 13 and Washington Counties, Vermont prepared by The Louis Berger Group, Inc., and 14 Memorandum to VELCO from Louis Berger Group, Inc. dated October 21, 2008. In addition, 15 review of the 2004 Historic Analysis Report prepared by Hugh H. Henry and T.J. Boyle and 16 Associates, and a letter from Vermont Division for Historic Preservation dated April 11, 2005 17 confirmed that the Project area does not contain known above ground historic resources. 18 Because this Project involves the replacement of one structure and removal of another 19 structure on the K24-5 Line, the site for this Project remains in the same general configuration as 20 the existing and previously studied K24-5 Line. The use and management of Line K24-5 will be 21 the same once Project work is completed. Anticipated below-ground work will be limited to 22 pole installation and removal, and restoration of equipment travel paths along ROW access

1	points and maintained lawns adjacent to the ROW and residential development; therefore the
2	Project will not have an undue, adverse effect on above- or below-ground historic sites.
3	
4	Natural Environment [30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(5)]
5	Q7. Will the proposed Project have an undue adverse effect on the applicable
6	Section 248 environmental criteria?
7	A7. No. VELCO retained VHB to conduct natural resource assessments in a defined
8	Study Area that included the ROW and Project area, including Project access routes. VHB
9	Natural Resource Memorandum and Supporting Attachments are provided in Exhibit Petitioner
10	JWS-2. VELCO will perform all Project work within the existing ROW, the two Project access
11	routes, or from public and private travel ways. In addition, VELCO will construct the Project in
12	accordance with VELCO's Environmental Guidance Manual (VEGM), Exhibit Petitioner
13	JWS-3. to ensure the protection of Vermont's natural environment. Therefore, the Project will
14	not result in any undue, adverse effects on the natural environment.
15	
16	Outstanding Resource Waters [10 V.S.A. § 1424a(d) & 30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(8)]
17	Q8. Will the Project result in an undue adverse effect on any Outstanding
18	Resource Waters?
19	A8. No. There are no Outstanding Resource Waters within or in the vicinity of the
20	Project area. Therefore, the Project will have no undue, adverse effect on Outstanding Resource
21	Waters. Exhibit Petitioner JWS-2, at 3 and Attachment 2.

1 Water and Air Pollution [10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(1)] 2 **Q9.** Will the Project result in an undue adverse effect on air quality? 3 **A9.** No. Work during the Project's construction phase will result in minor air 4 emissions from the use of diesel and gasoline powered vehicles and equipment. There may also 5 be brief releases of dust generated during equipment transport and general construction activities. 6 VELCO will manage dust resulting from construction activities in accordance with the Vermont 7 Standards and Specifications for Erosion Prevention and Sediment Control (ESPC) and the 8 VEGM. Furthermore, Project operation, upon completion of the construction phase, will not 9 produce any regulated air emissions. Therefore, the Project will not have an undue, adverse 10 effect on air quality. 11 12 Will the Project result in undue adverse water quality conditions? **O10.** No. The proposed Project's ground disturbing activities will be minor in nature 13 14 and disturb less than one acre. The approximated disturbance for the Project is 12,750 square 15 feet (0.29 acre). The Project will not require VELCO to obtain a Vermont Department of 16 Environmental Conservation (VT DEC) Construction Stormwater Discharge Permit. VELCO 17 will perform all work using the best management practices (BMPs) outlined in the Vermont 18 Standards and Specifications for ESPC and the VEGM. 19 If a release of a hazardous material were to occur during the Project's construction phase, 20 VELCO would take appropriate steps to contain it, report the release to the VT DEC (as 21 necessary), remove the contaminated material from the site for proper disposal, and restore the

area in accordance with the VEGM (see Exhibit Petitioner JWS-3), and applicable state and

Case No. ____ Prefiled Testimony of Jason W. Smith December 20, 2024 Page 8 of 17

1 federal regulations. The implementation and adherence to the VEGM, state and federal

regulations, and BMPs during construction will maintain existing water quality at the Project

site. Therefore, there will be no undue adverse effect to water quality.

Headwaters [10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(1)(A)]

Q11. Will the Project result in undue adverse effects to headwaters?

A11. No. The Project will not have an undue adverse impact to headwaters. The incorporated Act 250 criterion relating to headwaters applies only when a Project is sited in one of the following areas: (i) headwaters or watersheds characterized by steep slopes and shallow soils; (ii) drainage areas of 20 square miles or less; (iii) areas above 1,500' elevation; (iv) watersheds of public water supplies designated by the Vermont Agency of Natural Resources; or (v) areas supplying significant amounts of recharge waters to aquifers. While the Project meets subcriteria i and ii, VHB concluded that the Project should not be considered as located within a headwaters area because the Project Study area is located approximately one mile from the Winooski River, which has a drainage area of 1,080 square miles adjacent to the Project site. Further, the Graves Brook-Winooski River subwatershed has a drainage area of 40 square miles. Exhibit Petitioner JWS-2, at 3.

Although the Project area is located within a watershed that can have characteristics of steep slopes and shallow soils, the on-site conditions of the Project area do not reflect steep slopes and shallow soils as terrain has been manipulated by adjacent development, is located in a drainage area that is over 40 square miles, located at 650 feet in elevation, is not located within a public water supply or designated source protection area, and the Project area does not supply

Case No. ____ Prefiled Testimony of Jason W. Smith December 20, 2024 Page 9 of 17

significant amounts of recharge waters to aquifers due to being located within one mile of the

Winooski River. See Exhibit Petitioner JWS-2, at 3.

The Project will not lead to a reduction of the quality of the ground or surface waters flowing through adjacent lands. The Project site is surrounded by residential development and utility infrastructure. The potential effects of the Project on ground and surface water quality will be minimal. With the implementation of the practices and standards contained within the VEGM, and Vermont Standards and Specifications for EPSC, the Project is unlikely to adversely affect the natural flow regime, groundwater recharge, the condition or water quality of streams, groundwater, and wetlands, or public health. The Project will meet applicable health and VT DEC regulations regarding the reduction of the quality of the ground or surface waters flowing through or upon lands. Therefore, the Project will not result in undue adverse effects to

headwaters.

Waste Disposal [10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(1)(B)]

Q12. Please discuss VELCO's plans regarding waste disposal.

A12. The Project will meet applicable VT DEC regulations for the disposal of wastes and not involve injection of waste materials or any harmful or toxic substances into ground water or wells. The Project does not require an operational stormwater permit because the Project will not add any new impervious areas. The Project is limited in scope and size and consists of replacing an existing transmission line structure and retiring a temporary transmission line structure to return the site to the previous line configuration to the greatest extent possible, and as such, will involve limited waste disposal. VELCO will handle and dispose of any waste

Case No. ____ Prefiled Testimony of Jason W. Smith December 20, 2024 Page 10 of 17

1	generated from this Project in compliance with State of Vermont Solid Waste Management
2	Rules.
3	
4	Water Conservation & Supply [10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(1)(C) & (a)(2)&(3)]
5	Q13. Please describe water conservation measures associated with the Project.
6	A13. The Project does not include any new water connections. There is the potential
7	that the Project will need to use water for dust control; however, the amount of water used for
8	this temporary, construction-phase activity will be limited in duration and will only be used if
9	needed. Therefore, the proposed Project will not have an undue adverse effect on water
10	conservation.
11	
12	Q14. Will the Project burden existing water supplies or have an undue adverse
13	effect on water conservation?
14	A14. No. Limited water may be needed for dust control, but proposed Project activities
15	do not require using significant amounts of water. As stated above, the Project does not include
16	new water connections.

1	Floodways [10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(1)(D)]
2	Q15. Will the Project restrict or divert the flow of floodwaters; cause or contribute
3	to fluvial erosion; or endanger the health, safety, and welfare of the public or of riparian
4	owners during flooding?
5	A15. No. VHB analyzed the available Federal Emergency Management Agency Flood
6	Insurance Rate Maps and determined that the Project activities are not located on lands that meet
7	criteria (10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(1)(D)) related to floodways. Exhibit Petitioner JWS-2, at 3 and
8	Attachment 2.
9	
10	Streams [10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(1)(E)]
11	Q16. Will the Project have an undue adverse effect on streams?
12	A16. No. There are no streams located within the Project area. There is one
13	intermittent stream, and one constructed ditch located adjacent to the northern Project limits and
14	those will be avoided by all construction activities. As such, the Project will not have an undue,
15	adverse effect on streams. Exhibit Petitioner JWS-2, at 4 and Attachments 2 and 4.
16	
17	Shorelines [10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(1)(F)]
18	Q17. Does the Project affect any shorelines?
19	A17. No. There are no surface waters (lakes, ponds, reservoirs, or rivers) within the
20	Project area that would constitute a shoreline as defined by 10 V.S.A. § 6001(17) or Act 250.
21	Therefore, the proposed Project will not have an undue adverse effect on shorelines. Exhibit
22	Petitioner JWS-2, at 3 and Attachment 2.

Wetlands [10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(1)(G)]

2	Q18. Will the Project result in undue, adverse effects to wetlands?
3	A18. No. VELCO retained VHB to perform Natural Resource Assessments including
4	wetland delineations for the Project Study Area. All wetland surveys were performed pursuant
5	to the criteria in the Vermont Wetland Rules (VWR) and based on the following definition:
6	"those areas of the State that are inundated by surface or groundwater with a frequency sufficient
7	to support significant vegetation or aquatic life that depend on saturated or seasonally saturated
8	soil conditions for growth and reproduction" (10 V.S.A. § 902). VHB delineated wetlands for
9	the Project using the delineation methodology prescribed in the Vermont Wetland Rules, which
10	is enumerated in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual (1987), and the
11	Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Northcentral and
12	Northeast Region (Version 2.0) (2012).
13	VHB identified two wetlands within the Project Study Area—both categorical as Class II.
14	Class II Wetlands are wetlands identified on the Vermont Significant Wetlands Inventory
15	("VSWI") maps, or those the Secretary of the VT ANR determines merit protection, based on an
16	evaluation of the functions and values set forth in Section 5 of the Vermont Wetland Rules. In
17	accordance with the Vermont Wetland Rules, certain wetlands are presumed to be Class II
18	wetlands, unless determined otherwise by performing an onsite evaluation of wetland functions.
19	Exhibit Petitioner JWS-2, at 4 and Attachments 1 and 4.
20	Wetland WA-1 on the northern extent of the Study Area is a small seep wetland located
21	adjacent to an intermittent stream, ditching and drainage features associated with the surrounding
22	residential development. The Project will not involve impacts to wetland WA-1. Wetland WA-1

Case No. _____ Prefiled Testimony of Jason W. Smith December 20, 2024 Page 13 of 17

regulated 50' buffer zone is located within maintained and previously disturbed areas behind the 1 2 residential development. Work activities within Wetland WA-1 50' buffer zone will be limited 3 to the placement of temporary construction matting, overland travel, or a combination of both 4 across maintained lawn with equipment to perform the removal of temporary Structure LCP-021. 5 All work associated with the removal of temporary Structure LCP-021 will be performed under 6 VWR Allowed Use 6.08 Best Management Practices for Repair and Maintenance of Overhead 7 Utilities. 8 Wetland WA-2 on the southern extent of the Study Area is a portion of a depressional 9 wetland that is located outside of the Project area. Wetland WA-2 is surrounded by the adjacent 10 residential development, solar site, and ROW. During the work authorized under Case No. 24-11 2234-PET, VELCO installed a stone access route for safe and stable equipment travel to allow 12 access for the replacement of Structure LCP-020. This access route is located within the 50' 13 buffer zone of wetland WA-2. VELCO will seek authorization from the Vermont Wetlands 14 Program for the stone access route in wetland buffer zone WA-2. VELCO has identified specific 15 regulated wetland buffer zone impacts with the Vermont Wetlands Program as part of the review 16 of the Project and regarding this work during Case No. 24-2234-PET. 17 VELCO has avoided siting Project activities in wetlands and regulated buffer zone(s) to 18 the extent possible. Due to the topography surrounding Structure LCP-020, wetland WA-2, and 19 the constraints for access within the ROW due to retaining walls and septic infrastructure of the 20 residential development, VELCO cannot avoid permanent impacts to the Wetland WA-2 buffer 21 zone for the access to Structure LCP-020. VELCO will avoid significant adverse impacts to 22 wetland functions and values through its design of the Project by using upland/buffer zone

Case No. ____ Prefiled Testimony of Jason W. Smith December 20, 2024 Page 14 of 17

2 with VWR and Allowed Use 6.08 Best Management Practices for Repair and Maintenance of 3 Overhead Utilities. In addition, implementation and maintenance of erosion prevention and 4 sediment control practices upgradient of wetland resources (as described in the VEGM Exhibit 5 Petitioner JWS-3) will ensure protection of wetlands and associated water quality during 6 construction. Therefore, the Project will not result in undue adverse effects to wetlands. 7 8 Soil Erosion [10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(4)] Q19. Will the Project result in undue, adverse effects on soil erosion? 9 10 A19. No. The Project will involve less than one acre of earth disturbance and will 11 therefore not require authorization under VT DEC construction stormwater permit 3-9020. 12 Ground disturbing activities will be limited to minor grading for construction equipment stability 13 and overland travel within the ROW and Project area. The approximated earth disturbance for 14 the Project is 12,750 square feet (0.29 acre). VELCO will ensure that Project construction is 15 performed in accordance with Vermont Standards and Specification for EPSC and VT DEC's 16 Low Risk Site Handbook for Erosion and Sediment Control in Vermont, the VEGM, and 17 applicable BMPs. Therefore, the Project will not cause unreasonable soil erosion or reduce the 18 capacity of the land to hold water so that a dangerous or unhealthy condition may result. Exhibit

locations where feasible and choosing pre-existing access routes where possible, in accordance

1

19

Petitioner JWS-2, at Attachment 2.

Case No. ____ Prefiled Testimony of Jason W. Smith December 20, 2024 Page 15 of 17

Rare and Irreplaceable Natural Areas, Necessary Wildlife Habitat, Endangered Species [10] 1 2 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(8)] 3 Q20. Will the Project have an undue adverse effect on rare and irreplaceable 4 natural areas, necessary wildlife habitat, or threatened or endangered species? 5 **A20.** No. VELCO will perform all work within the existing ROW and along the two access routes that VHB reviewed. VELCO retained VHB to perform a one-mile radius review of 6 7 the Vermont National Heritage Inventory ("NHI") Database. As part of the review, no elemental 8 occurrences ("EO") of state-protected species are known to occur within the Project area. Two 9 natural communities—Red Oak-Northern Hardwood Forest and Woodland Seep—occur within a 10 one-mile search radius of the Project area. Field surveys conducted on September 26, 2024, 11 confirmed the absence of natural communities, and targeted surveys for Rare, Threatened, and 12 Endangered ("RTE") plants were performed, with no RTE plants observed. Exhibit Petitioner 13 JWS-2, at 5 and Attachment 2 and Attachment 7. 14 In addition, review of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service ("USFWS") Information for 15 Planning and Consultation ("IPaC") database determined the Project area falls within the known 16 summer range of two protected bat species, federal-endangered and Vermont-endangered northern long-eared bat (Myotis septentrionalis, "MYSE") and the federal-proposed and 17 18 Vermont-endangered tri-colored bat (Perimyotis subflavus, "PESU"). No critical habitat within

or adjacent to the Project area has been designated. No ANR-mapped necessary wildlife habitat

Attachment 6. As such, the Project will have no undue, adverse effects on rare and irreplaceable

are present within the Project Area. Exhibit Petitioner JWS-2, at 5 and Attachment 2 and

natural areas, necessary wildlife habitat, or threatened or endangered species.

19

20

21

1	Greenhouse Gas Impacts [30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(5)]
2	Q21. Will the proposed VELCO work or Project have any significant greenhouse
3	gas impacts?
4	A21. No. VELCO's proposed construction activities will result in the release of minor
5	emissions associated with the operation of gasoline- and diesel-powered engines and equipment
6	These activities, however, will be limited in nature and duration. Moreover, there will be no
7	sustained releases of greenhouses gases associated with the operation of the facilities.
8	
9	Use of Natural Resources [30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(5)]
10	Q22. Will the Project work use natural resources?
11	A22. VELCO will construct this Project while minimizing the use of natural resource
12	VELCO will construct the Project in accordance with the VEGM to ensure the protection of
13	Vermont's natural environment. Furthermore, this Project does not use natural resources other than
14	petroleum-based products consumed by vehicles and equipment to perform the replacement work
15	As such, there will be no undue adverse use of natural resources.
16	
17	Primary Agricultural Soils [30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(5)]
18	Q23. Does the Project have an undue adverse effect on primary agricultural soils
19	as defined by 10 V.S.A. § 6001(15)?
20	A23. No. The Project work area is located outside of any mapped primary agricultural
21	soils; and as such there will be no impacts to primary agricultural soils. The VHB Natural
22	Resource Assessment Study Area limits on the southern and western extents are located on the

Case No. _____ Prefiled Testimony of Jason W. Smith December 20, 2024 Page 17 of 17

- 1 edge of mapped primary agricultural soils. The Project is surrounded by residential development
- and a solar site, in addition to the ROW and utility infrastructure, which preclude the area from
- 3 farming. There will be no undue adverse impacts to mapped primary agricultural soils. See
- 4 Exhibit Petitioner JWS-2, at 5-6, Attachment 1.

5

- 6 Q24. Does this conclude your testimony at this time?
- 7 **A24.** Yes.

DECLARATION OF JASON W. SMITH

I declare that the testimony and exhibits that I have sponsored are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief and were prepared by me or under my direct supervision. I understand that if the above statement is false, I may be subject to sanctions by the Commission pursuant to 30 V.S.A. § 30.

12/20/24 /s/ Jason W. Smith
Date Jason W. Smith