STATE OF VERMONT PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

Case No. 24- -PET

Petition of Vermont Transco LLC and	
Vermont Electric Power Company, Inc. for a	
certificate of public good, pursuant to	
30 V.S.A. § 248, for the replacement and	
removal of structures on the VELCO K24-5	
Line in Waterbury, Vermont	

PREFILED TESTIMONY OF WITNESS RYAN JOHNSON ON BEHALF OF VELCO

December 20, 2024

Ryan Johnson's testimony introduces the other witnesses offering testimony in support of the K24-5 structure replacement Project, provides an overview of the proposed Project, estimated cost and construction schedule, and explains how this Project addresses a subset of the § 248 criteria.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	2
I. Project Overview	4
II. Public Outreach [Docket No. 7081]	11
III. Orderly Development [30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(1)]	13
IV. Need for Present and Future Demand for Service [30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(2)]	14
V. System Stability and Reliability [30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(3)]	16
VI. Economic Benefit to the State [30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(4)]	16
VII. Air Pollution (Noise), Public Health and Safety [30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(5)]	16
VIII. Transportation Systems/Traffic [10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(5)]	17
IX. Educational & Municipal Service [10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(6)&(7)]	17
X. Aesthetics [30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(5), 10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(8)]	18
XI. Development Affecting Public Investments [10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(9)(K)]	19
XII. Compliance with Integrated Resource Plan [30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(6)]	19
XIII. Compliance with Vermont Electric Energy Plan [30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(7)]	20
XIV. Impact on Vermont Utilities and Customers [30 V.S.A. §248(b)(10)]	21
Conclusion	21

EXHIBITS

Exhibit Petitioner RCJ-1

Exhibit Petitioner RCJ-2

Access Construction Plan

Exhibit Petitioner RCJ-3

Project Cost Estimate

Exhibit Petitioner RCJ-4

45-Day Notice Letter

Exhibit Petitioner RCJ-5

Town and Regional Plans Excerpts

	Case No
Prefiled T	estimony of Ryan Johnson
	December 20, 2024
	Page 2 of 21

PREFILED TESTIMONY OF RYAN JOHNSON ON BEHALF OF VERMONT ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY, INC. AND VT TRANSCO LLC

Introduction

1	Q1. Please state your name, occupation, and business address.
2	A1. My name is Ryan C. Johnson. I am employed by Vermont Electric Power
3	Company, Inc. (together with VT Transco LLC referred to as "VELCO") to provide Project
4	Management services for the Transmission Line Refurbishment Program and other projects. I
5	am employed by Burns & McDonnell, 9400 Ward Parkway, Kansas City, Missouri, 64114 and
6	work out of their local office at 110 Merchants Row, Suite 312, Rutland, Vermont 05701.
7	
8	Q2. Please describe your education and employment background.
9	A2. I hold a Bachelor of Science Degree in Civil Engineering from Wentworth
10	Institute of Technology. Before my current position, I was employed at Green Mountain Power
11	(GMP) and Central Vermont Public Service (CVPS) for ten years combined as the Manager of
12	Transmission and Substation Civil Engineering. Before that, I was employed by VELCO for
13	eleven years in the roles of Manager of Transmission Engineering, Construction, and Real Estate
14	as well as Civil/Substation Design Engineer. My educational and employment background are
15	set forth in more detail in my résumé, which is attached as Exhibit Petitioner RCJ-1 (Résumé
16	of Ryan C. Johnson).

1	Q3.	Have you previ	ously provided testimony before the Vermont Public Utility
2	Commission	(the "Commission	on" or "PUC")?
3	A3.	Yes, I have prov	rided testimony in numerous PUC Dockets. A few select Dockets
4	with GMP we	ere 8322 (White R	tiver Transmission and Substation Upgrade), 8205 (Georgia
5	Interconnect)	, 8099 (Taftsville	to Hartford Reconductor), and with VELCO, Dockets 7032
6	(Lamoille Co	unty Project) and	6860 (Northwest Reliability Project).
7			
8	Q4.	What is the pur	rpose of your testimony?
9	A4.	My testimony su	apports the Petition filed by VELCO requesting a Certificate of
10	Public Good	("CPG") pursuant	to 30 V.S.A. \S 248 for the replacement of Structure LCP-020 and
11	removal of te	mporary Structure	e LCP-021 on the VELCO K24-5 Duxbury Tap-Stowe Line in
12	Waterbury, V	ermont (the "Proj	ect"). My testimony begins with an introduction of the other
13	VELCO witn	esses that address	specific Section 248 criteria and describe the engineering design.
14	I provide an o	overview and desc	ription of the Project and the anticipated schedule and costs, and I
15	also address s	specific Section 24	48 criteria, (b)(1), (b)(2), (b)(3), (b)(4), portions of (b)(5), (b)(6),
16	(b)(7), and (b)(10).	
17			
18	Q5.	Please identify	each of the other VELCO witnesses that will submit
19	testimony, as	s well as the scope	e of their testimony.
20	A5.	In support of thi	s Petition, VELCO submits the prefiled testimony with exhibits
21	sponsored by	the following wit	nesses:
22 23	Witne	<u> </u>	Subject
24	Willia	nm McNamara	Describes the engineering design of the Project

Case No. ____ Prefiled Testimony of Ryan Johnson December 20, 2024 Page 4 of 21

1			
2 3 4 5	Jason	Smith	Provides an assessment of the Project's potential impacts on above-ground and below-ground historic sites, as well as presents the Natural Resource Assessment Report for this Project
6 7			I. Project Overview
8	Q6.	Please generally de	scribe the K24-5 structure replacement Project.
9	A6.	This Project involve	s the permanent replacement of Structure LCP-020 on the
10	VELCO K24	-5 Duxbury Tap-Stow	e Line in Waterbury, Vermont, and the removal of temporary
11	Structures LC	CP-020 and LCP-021.	These two temporary structures were installed in July and
12	August 2024	in accordance with the	e Order Granting Waiver Pursuant to 30 V.S.A. § 248(k) (the
13	"Waiver Orde	er") issued by the Com	nmission on July 24, 2024, in Case No. 24-2234-PET.
14			
15	Q7.	Why is Structure L	CP-020 being replaced?
16	A7.	As detailed more in	the Waiver Order, the original laminate pole Structure LCP-
17	020 was deen	ned unsafe after core to	esting was performed in mid-July 2024. It was removed and
18	temporary str	ructures LCP-020 and	LCP-021 were installed. Although temporary structures
19	LCP-020 and	LCP-021 are sound fr	rom an engineering and structural standpoint, parties to the
20	emergency pr	roceeding last summer	raised aesthetic and other concerns with the location of LCP-
21	021, which le	ed VELCO to agree to	come back to the Commission for approval of a permanent
22	structure in th	ne location of the origi	nal structure. The Commission's Order in Case No. 24-2234-
23	PET specifica	ally requires that, "VE	LCO must file a petition for a CPG under 30 V.S.A. § 248 to
24	install a perm	nanent replacement of	Structure LCP-020 with a steel pole and the removal of
25	Structure LC	P-021." In accordance	e with the Commission's Order, VELCO is proposing a

26

permanent replacement for Structure LCP-020.

1	Q8. Please describe the new proposed Structure LCP-020.	
2	A8. The original Structure LCP-020 was a self-supported laminate pole angle	
3	structure, meaning it did not use guy wires for support. VELCO is proposing to install a self-	
4	supported steel pole angle structure supported by a drilled pier concrete foundation for the	
5	permanent Structure LCP-020.	
6	VELCO is also proposing to run new conductor between existing structure LCP-019 ar	nd
7	permanent Structure LCP-020 to avoid having mid-span splices in this section of conductor.	
8	When temporary Structures LCP-020 and LCP-021 were installed, short sections of conductor	
9	had to be spliced in to make up the dead-end assembly connections on Structure LCP-020.	
10	Further modifications of the existing conductor would be required to transfer it over to	
11	permanent Structure LCP-020, and running new conductor is the preferable option.	
12	Further details regarding the engineering design are provided in the prefiled testimony	of
13	William F. McNamara.	
14		
15	Q9. What site preparation work will be needed for installation of the new	
16	Structure LCP-020?	
17	A9. The site preparation will include reestablishing the access routes used to constru	ıct
18	temporary Structures LCP-020 and LCP-021. These access routes are shown on Exhibit	
19	Petitioner RCJ-2 (Access Construction Plan). A work pad will be constructed at the new	
20	Structure LCP-020 suitable to support heavy equipment necessary to drill the foundation, set the	ne
21	replacement pole, and frame the structure. A work pad will also be reconstructed at the	
22	temporary LCP-021 location to facilitate the removal of that structure. A small, matted pad wi	ill

1	be installed adjacent to Kimberly Lane, near structure LCP-019, to allow equipment to be set up		
2	for terminating the new span of conductor.		
3			
4	Q10. What is VELCO's proposal with regard to temporary Structures LCP-020		
5	and LCP-021?		
6	A10. VELCO is proposing to remove temporary Structures LCP-020 and LCP-021 and		
7	associated guy anchors. The areas where the two structures were installed will be graded and		
8	restored to a similar condition that existed before the temporary installation. Vegetation		
9	plantings will be installed in areas where removal of vegetation was required for the installation		
10	of the temporary structures. Details of the proposed plantings are described further below in the		
11	aesthetics review section.		
12			
13	Q11. Is tree removal or other vegetation clearing required for the Project?		
14	A11. No, VELCO does not anticipate additional vegetation clearing beyond what was		
15	previously cleared (approximately 5400 sq. ft.) for the temporary installation of Structures LCP-		
16	020 and LCP-021. If for some unexpected reason additional clearing is required, that vegetation		
17	would be replaced after construction is complete as previously mentioned.		
18			
19	Q12. Will a laydown area be needed for the Project?		
20	A12. No, a designated laydown area will not be required for the Project. VELCO is		
21	planning to use the existing right-of-way around Structures LCP-020 and LCP-021 for staging		
22	equipment and material.		

1	Q13. Will the Project require the installation of any perma	anent sound producing
2	2 equipment?	
3	3 A13. No.	
4	4	
5	5 Q14. Is an outage necessary for the replacement of new St	ructure LCP-020 and/or
6	6 removal of temporary Structure LCP-021? If so, please describe it.	
7	7 A14. Yes, VELCO is planning two line outages for the Project	et. The first outage will be
8	8 necessary for drilling and installing the concrete pier foundation. The h	neight of the drilling
9	9 equipment will need to be located closer to the K24-5 line conductor th	an minimum approach
10	0 distance allows. The second outage will be required for the installation	of new Structure LCP-
11	1 020, transfer and installation of conductors, transfer of optical ground v	vire, and the removal of
12	2 temporary Structures LCP-020 and LCP-021.	
13	3 The first outage will need to occur at least 30 days before the se	econd outage to allow for
14	4 the required cure time of the concrete. The outages are planned to occu	or late summer to early
15	fall 2025 and are not expected to last longer than a week at a time. Dur	ring the outage window it
16	6 is expected that sub-transmission sources in the area will be capable of	serving the load
17	7 requirements of the Stowe Substation. Construction is planned to occu	r and must occur before
18	8 the annual increase of load requirements normally served by the Stowe	area due to winter
19	9 snowmaking, which generally starts in the beginning of November.	
20	The K24-5 outages will be coordinated with the interconnecting	g distribution utilities to
21	assure there are no overlapping outages with the local sub-transmission	system that could impact
22	the ability to support load served in the area.	

1	Q15.	Will the Project require any blasting?
2	A15.	No.
3		
4	Q16.	Please describe the approach and process for developing the Project's cost
5	estimate.	
6	A16.	The first step in VELCO's process is to identify the resources required to plan,
7	design, and co	onstruct the Project. VELCO developed the cost estimate using seven categories to
8	establish the t	total cost for each Project element. The seven resource categories are as follows (1)
9	Materials, (2)	Labor, (3) Specialized Equipment, (4) Indirects, (5) Escalation, (6) Capital
10	Interest, and (7) Contingency.
11	VELC	O developed the Direct Costs (i.e., Material, Labor, and Specialized Equipment)
12	using cost dat	a from projects VELCO recently completed or which are in progress. Specifically,
13	VELCO used	cost data from ongoing structure replacement projects, including other laminate
14	structure repla	acements on the K24-5 line.
15	VELC	O used actual costs for the temporary installation of Structures LCP-020 and LCP-
16	021, along wi	th estimated labor and equipment costs for the proposed detailed design of
17	permanent LC	CP-020. The detailed line items for each Project element were estimated into sub-
18	categories fol	lowing the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") system of accounts.
19	Developing th	ne cost estimates by FERC account enhances VELCO's ability to track costs in a
20	manner consis	stent with the reporting format of actual costs as required by FERC. Also,
21	escalation cos	ets can be more accurately calculated by applying the Handy-Whitman cost index to
22	the estimated	costs by FERC account.

1	The Project team also developed the estimated costs for Indirects, Escalation, Capital
2	Interest, and Contingency.
3	VELCO estimated the Indirect Costs based on the resources required to support the
4	Project completion by resource category. Resource categories included in the Indirect estimated
5	costs include: Engineering and Design; Operations; Planning; Communications; Environmental
6	Engineering; Field Surveys; Impact Mitigation; Aesthetic Impact; Legal Expenses; Regulatory
7	Permitting and Filings; Administrative Overhead; Mobilization and Demobilization; Project
8	Management; Construction Supervision; and Project Administration.
9	The Indirect estimated Project costs support services are based on the number of
10	people/hours (Level of Effort) required to support the particular function, as well as outsourced
11	consulting services for each resource category (e.g. engineering and surveying).
12	VELCO Project Controls developed escalation costs by using an anticipated 2024-2028
13	spending plan and projected Handy-Whitman cost index and consumer price index.
14	VELCO applied Capital Interest (interest cost during construction) and also followed the
15	Project spending plan as applied to the escalation cost calculation. The Capital Interest rate is
16	typically based on the company's credit rating and is subject to change based on the financial
17	market conditions.
18	Finally, the Project cost estimate also accounts for a contingency of twenty percent
19	(20%), applied to the permanent LCP-020 installation portion of the estimate, due to the
20	preliminary detailed designs and the uncertainty and risk associated with the current level of
21	Project definition.

1	Q17.	What is the total cost estimate for the Project?	
2	A17.	The total cost of the Project is estimated at \$1,211,146 with contingency. Exhibit	
3	Petitioner Ro	CJ-3 (Project Cost Estimate) details the cost estimate.	
4			
5	Q18.	What risk elements did VELCO consider when developing the cost estimate	
6	and how wer	e the risks addressed in the cost estimate?	
7	A18.	Risk elements considered are the Project duration, level of certainty regarding	
8	ground condit	ion for below-grade work, required aesthetic and environmental mitigation	
9	measures, volatility regarding escalation rates, temporary configurations necessary to support		
10	construction,	and potential resource constraints at the anticipated time of construction. Per	
11	standard proje	ect management practices widely recognized by organizations such as the Project	
12	Management Institute, VELCO applied a contingency of twenty percent (20%) for the permanent		
13	installation of Structure LCP-020 to the estimate to account for these risks based on the current		
14	level of Proje	et definition.	
15			
16	Q19.	Are any portions of the Project expected to be eligible for Pool Transmission	
17	Facilities ("P	TF") regionalized cost recovery?	
18	A19.	No, since the K24-5 Duxbury Tap-Stowe Line is a radial feed to the Stowe	
19	Substation an	d only serves local load, it is not a Pool Transmission Facility.	
20			
21	Q20.	What is the Project schedule and planned construction hours?	
22	A20.	We propose to begin Project construction as soon as possible upon receiving the	
23	required perm	its, approvals, and materials. Since this is a unique steel pole structure and not a	

1 standard type of pole that is regularly and more commonly used on other parts of the VELCO 2 system, material procurement will not occur until after the required CPG is received. We 3 estimate that once Structure LCP-020 is ordered, it will take approximately 5 months for the pole 4 to be delivered to VELCO. Currently, the estimated construction schedule is planned from 5 August through October 2025, which assumes receipt of a CPG by April 2025. 6 As discussed in testimony above, VELCO is planning to complete construction before the 7 end of October to avoid impact to local load requirements which typically increase significantly 8 around the beginning of November. If construction cannot be completed before the end of 9 October 2025, we would push construction out to start in the spring of 2026, after the local load 10 requirements reduce. 11 Construction would take place between the hours of 7:00 A.M. and 7:00 P.M. Monday 12 through Friday, and between 8:00 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. on Saturdays. No construction will take 13 place on Sundays, federal holidays, and state holidays with the exception of Bennington Battle 14 Day in August. VELCO requests, however, that these restrictions do not apply to construction 15 activities that VELCO must perform during any required transmission outages that may be 16 needed to maintain system reliability. VELCO also respectfully requests that it be allowed to 17 perform construction activities on Bennington Battle Day given (i) the short summer 18 construction season, and (ii) that the holiday is not widely granted as a paid day off for the 19 workers on this Project. 20 II. Public Outreach [Docket No. 7081] 21 Has the Project development been consistent with the public outreach 22 contemplated in Docket No. 7081 Memorandum of Understanding ("MOU")?

Yes. I describe our public outreach efforts below.

23

1	Q22. Please describe VELCO's public outreach efforts related to this Project,	
2	including coordination with parties that participated in the 248(k) proceeding.	
3	A22. VELCO began its outreach on this Project with the landowners that obtained party	
4	status during the emergency hearings of July 19 and 22, 2024, immediately after the July 24,	
5	2024 PUC Order granting a waiver for the emergency installation of temporary Structures LCP-	
6	020 and LCP-021. At that time, communications were provided on the plans for the installation	
7	of the temporary structures and the progress of construction. Since completion of construction in	
8	August, regular communications have been provided to the landowners on the progress towards	
9	filing this Petition and of the Project design, including sharing an example photo of a structure	
10	similar to the proposed permanent Structure LCP-020.	
11	On December 3, 2024, a site visit was conducted with the landowners to review and	
12	discuss the design of the Project and planned aesthetic mitigation plantings.	
13	The 45-day pre-CPG advance notice with a Project description was sent out on October	
14	29, 2024, to the Town of Waterbury select board and planning commission, along with the	
15	regional planning commission, adjoining landowners (which include those landowners that	
16	intervened in the Section 248(k) proceeding), the Department of Public Service, the Agency of	
17	Natural Resources, and other state agencies pursuant to Commission rules on service of advance	
18	notices. Exhibit Petitioner RCJ-4 (45-day Advance Notice Package).	
19		
20	Q23. How did VELCO address the comments and input that were received from	
21	its public outreach efforts?	
22	A23. VELCO received and promptly answered questions from the landowners with	
23	party status throughout the communication process.	

1	III. Orderly Development [30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(1)]	
2	Q24. Will the Project unduly interfere with the orderly development of the region	
3	giving due consideration to recommendations from municipal and regional planning	
4	commissions and municipal legislative bodies, and land conservation measures included in	
5	the municipal plan?	
6	A24. No. I have reviewed the Town of Waterbury's 2018 Municipal Plan and the	
7	Central Vermont Regional Planning Commission's 2016 Regional Plan (Amended Effective	
8	November 17, 2020). The Project is consistent with the plans as they do not have any policies	
9	regarding energy or land use that would specifically pertain to or contradict the Project. The	
10	Energy section of both plans discusses "Key Challenges and Trends," which describe the need to	
11	have adequate three-phase grid infrastructure to support renewable energy development for the	
12	foreseeable future. See Exhibit Petitioner RCJ-5 (referenced sections of town and regional	
13	plans). The K24-5 line is a key component in providing adequate three-phase infrastructure to	
14	the area. The Project helps support the reliability of the K24-5 line. Further discussion on the	
15	consistency of this Project with the Town and Regional Plan is provided in Exhibit Petitioner	
16	RCJ-6 (Aesthetics Review Memorandum).	
17		
18	Q25. Has VELCO received any substantive comments from the municipal or	
19	regional commissions related to the criteria of 30 V.S.A. § 248(b)? And if so, how has	
20	VELCO addressed them?	
21	A25. VELCO did not receive any comments from the municipal or regional planning	
22	commissions in response to the 45-day notice letter.	

1 2	IV. Need for Present and Future Demand for Service [30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(2)]
3	Q26. Is the Project required to meet the need for present and future demand for
4	service which could not otherwise be provided in a more cost-effective manner through
5	energy conservation programs and measures and energy-efficiency and load management
6	measures, including those developed pursuant to the provisions of subsection 209(d),
7	section 218c, and subsection 218(b) of V.S.A. Title 30?
8	A26. Yes. When the laminate pole structure was failing last summer, an immediate
9	replacement was needed to address the deficient transmission line assets that support loads in the
10	Lamoille County Area. The K24-5 line was approved for construction in Docket 7032 and found
11	to be required to meet the present and future demand of service which could not otherwise be
12	provided in a more cost effective manner through energy conservation programs and measures
13	and energy efficiency and load management measures.
14	
15	Q27. Can the same benefits be achieved by transmission alternatives?
16	A27. No. Although VELCO would normally present a proposed Project to the
17	Vermont System Planning Committee (VSPC) Geographic Targeting Subcommittee for a non-
18	transmission alternatives analysis, the emergency nature of this matter did not allow for that
19	screening before VELCO had to seek (and was granted) a waiver to install temporary structures.
20	Further, the waiver that was granted to VELCO explicitly required that VELCO file this Petition
21	for a permanent replacement for Structure LCP-020.

1	Q28. Did VELCO review this Project with the Vermont distribution utilities?
2	A28. VELCO reviewed the specifics of this Project with Stowe Electric Department
3	since the K24-5 line directly feeds the Stowe substation. Stowe Electric did not express concerns
4	with the Project. Communication has been provided to distribution utilities with co-existing
5	facilities on other laminate poles on the K24-5 line and other lines in the VELCO system. That
6	communication described the premature deterioration of the laminate poles and the plans for
7	replacement.
8	
9	Q29. Has VELCO considered and assessed whether the proposed Project
10	represents the least-cost alternative to resolving the deficiencies discussed above?
11	A29. Yes. Although it would be less costly to leave the temporary structure in place as
12	a permanent structure, that is not an allowed option here. This Project is a requirement of the
13	July 24, 2024 Commission Order in Case No. 24-2234-PET, which requires VELCO to install a
14	permanent replacement of structure LCP-020 with a steel pole and remove structure LCP-021.
15	Since the laminate wood poles used in the original line design have proven to deteriorate
16	prematurely, the next most cost-effective and proven reliable equivalent alternative to the
17	original Structure LCP-020 design is to use a self-supported tubular steel structure on a concrete
18	foundation.
19	Another self-supported structure type offered in the industry is lattice steel. This option
20	was not selected because it is more costly due to requiring a larger foundation footprint and the
21	significant amount of labor required to construct.

1	Q30.	Can the introduction of demand side management ("DSM") or distributed
2	generation ('DG") alleviate the need for the Project?
3	A30.	No. As the need for the Project is to replace deficient transmission line assets,
4	DSM or DG	cannot provide a direct replacement for this transmission path.
5		
6 7		V. System Stability and Reliability [30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(3)]
8	Q31.	What impact will this Project have on system stability and reliability?
9	A31.	The Project will have no adverse impact on system stability and reliability of
10	VELCO's transmission system. The Project is expected to improve system safety and reliability	
11	by replacing a deficient laminate wood structure with a steel structure.	
12		
13 14		VI. Economic Benefit to the State [30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(4)]
15	Q32.	Will the Project result in an economic benefit to the State?
16	A32.	Yes. The Project will create economic and safety benefits for the citizens of
17	Vermont. The Project will increase property tax revenues based on the capital investment	
18	required for the upgrades. Additionally, there will be some local economic benefits associated	
19	with engaging	g local businesses and contractors during the Project's construction phase.
20		
21 22		VII. Air Pollution (Noise), Public Health and Safety [30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(5)]
23	Q33.	Has VELCO evaluated the Project's sound impacts?
24	A33.	No, the Project does not install any sound producing facilities.

1	Q34.	Will the Project have any adverse effects on the health, safety, or welfare of
2	the public or	adjoining landowners?
3	A34.	No. VELCO will design and construct the Project in accordance with National
4	Electric Safet	y Code requirements. VELCO will adhere to prudent utility construction practices
5	throughout th	e construction phase to not endanger the public or adjoining landowners.
6		
7 8		VIII. Transportation Systems/Traffic [10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(5)]
9	Q35.	Please describe the Project's potential impacts with respect to use of public
10	roads.	
11	A35.	VELCO does not expect long-term traffic impacts from the Project. There are
12	potential, min	or short-term traffic impacts due to construction equipment and material deliveries
13		
14	Q36.	Will the Project require the construction of new access roads?
15	A36.	No. Only temporary access locations into the right-of-way will be utilized.
16		
17	Q37.	Will the Project affect railway, waterway, or air transportation?
18	A37.	No.
19 20		IX. Educational & Municipal Service [10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(6)&(7)]
21	Q38.	What impact will the Project have on educational and municipal services?
22	A38.	The Project is not anticipated to have any impact on educational or municipal
23	services becar	use it will not create the need for any additional educational or municipal services.

Case No. ____ Prefiled Testimony of Ryan Johnson December 20, 2024 Page 18 of 21

1 2	X. Aesthetics [30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(5), 10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(8)]	
3	Q39. Will the Project adversely impact aesthetics?	
4	A39. No. VELCO retained T.J. Boyle & Associates (Boyle) to review and assess the	
5	potential aesthetic impact associated with this Project and prepare a report of its findings, which	
6	is attached as Exhibit Petitioner RCJ-6 (Aesthetic Analysis Memorandum). The proposed	
7	aesthetic mitigation planting plan is contained in Appendix A to Exhibit Petitioner RCJ-6.	
8	Boyle concluded that the proposed self-weathering steel structure will have an adverse	
9	effect on the character of the area, particularly to the residential uses in the immediate vicinity of	
10	the Project. Specifically, Boyle found that the slight relocation of the new structure #LCP-020,	
11	and the change in material from a laminated wood pole to a self-weathering steel pole results in a	
12	limited increase in transmission structure visibility and industrial character. However, the	
13	incorporation of new and replacement landscape plantings and the use of self-weathering steel	
14	rather than galvanized steel will lessen the industrial character of the proposed structure, as well	
15	as match materials on other existing structures elsewhere along the K24 transmission corridor.	
16	As such, the Project as proposed will not be unduly adverse to the aesthetics and the scenic and	
17	natural beauty of the area. Additionally, the proposed Project incorporates line design	
18	characteristics and materials permitted and installed for the original Lamoille County 115 kV	

19

Project (PUC Docket No. 7032).

Case No. ____ Prefiled Testimony of Ryan Johnson December 20, 2024 Page 19 of 21

1 2	XI. Development Affecting Public Investments [10 <u>V.S.A.</u> § 6086(a)(9)(K)]	
3	Q40. What impact will the Project have on public investment in a public resource?	
4	A40. None. The Project will not unnecessarily or unreasonably endanger any public or	
5	quasi-public investment in any facility, service, or lands, or materially jeopardize or interfere	
6	with the function, efficiency, or safety of, or the public's use or enjoyment of or access to any	
7	facility, service, or lands.	
8 9	XII. Compliance with Integrated Resource Plan [30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(6)]	
10	Q41. Is the Project consistent with VELCO's least cost Integrated Resource Plan?	
11	A41. VELCO does not have an integrated resource plan. As a transmission-only	
12	company, VELCO periodically produces transmission studies. Specifically, VELCO issued a	
13	2024 Vermont Long-Range Transmission Plan. The 2024 Plan explains that:	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Sometimes routine or asset condition activities require significant projects, such as the refurbishment of substation equipment and the replacement of a relatively large number of transmission structures to replace aging equipment or maintain acceptable ground clearances. Although Docket 7081 MOU requirements do not apply to these types of projects, VELCO is listing these projects for the sake of information. These projects are needed to maintain the existing system, not to address system issues resulting from load growth, and VELCO routinely shares plans for many of these projects with the VSPC as part of its non-transmission alternatives project screening process.	
22	2024 VELCO Plan, at page 19. The Project complies with the 2024 VELCO Plan because it is a	
23	routine refurbishment project as contemplated therein.	

XIII. Compliance with Vermont Electric Energy Plan [30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(7)]

Q42. Is the Project consistent with the 2022 Comprehensive Energy Plan?

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Yes. Vermont's Comprehensive Energy Plan (CEP) identifies objectives that A42. utilities must meet in serving the public interest, such as serving its customers at the lowest lifecycle costs, including environmental and economic costs, and reducing greenhouse gas emissions. The CEP "balances the principles articulated in 30 V.S.A. § 202a of energy adequacy, reliability, security, and affordability, which are all essential for a vibrant, resilient, and robust economy and for the health and well-being of all Vermonters." CEP executive summary at 1. The CEP also acknowledges that the "grid needs to continue to perform — to reliably deliver the required energy to customers, every hour of the year, to and from resources that are exponentially more distributed, diverse, and variable, under increasing pressure from severe weather events and cyberattacks, while weaning off fossil resources and staying affordable." CEP at ES-24. The CEP states that Vermont's overarching goal for the grid should be "A secure and affordable grid that can efficiently integrate, use, and optimize high penetrations of distributed energy resources to enhance resilience and reduce greenhouse gas emissions." CEP at page 60. The Project strikes the proper balance between these objectives. Specifically, VELCO has proposed a Project that restores and maintains system reliability and safety. Moreover, VELCO's proposal to perform the Project in an area that already hosts other electric infrastructure limits the environmental impact. VELCO has asked the Department for a determination under 30 V.S.A. § 202(f) that the Project is consistent with the 20-Year Plan.

Case No. ____ Prefiled Testimony of Ryan Johnson December 20, 2024 Page 21 of 21

1 2	XIV. Impact on Vermont Utilities and Customers [30 <u>V.S.A. §248(b)(10)]</u>	
3	Q43.	Can existing or planned transmission facilities serve the Project without
4	creating an u	andue adverse effect on Vermont utilities, customers, or existing transmission
5	facilities?	
6	A43.	Yes. Existing transmission facilities can serve the Project without creating an
7	undue adverse effect on Vermont utilities and customers. The proposed Project is designed to	
8	enhance the existing utility system and to improve service to customers by replacing deficient	
9	transmission equipment.	
10		<u>Conclusion</u>
11	Q44.	Does this conclude your testimony at this time?
12	A44.	Yes, it does.
		DECLARATION OF RYAN JOHNSON
	my knowledg	the testimony and exhibits that I have sponsored are true and accurate to the best of the and belief and were prepared by me or under my direct supervision. I understand eve statement is false, I may be subject to sanctions by the Commission pursuant to 10.
	12/20/24 Date	